



**SOUTH CAROLINA REVENUE AND FISCAL AFFAIRS OFFICE**  
**STATEMENT OF ESTIMATED FISCAL IMPACT**  
 (803)734-0640 • RFA.SC.GOV/IMPACTS

**Bill Number:** S. 1205  
**Author:** Hembree  
**Subject:** Surety bonds for DNR law enforcement officers  
**Requestor:** House Agriculture, Natural Resources, and Environmental Affairs  
**RFA Analyst(s):** Stein and Gardner  
**Impact Date:** May 18, 2016

**Estimate of Fiscal Impact**

	<b>FY 2016-17</b>	<b>FY 2017-18</b>
<b>State Expenditure</b>		
General Fund	\$0	\$0
Other and Federal	\$0	\$0
Full-Time Equivalent Position(s)	0.00	0.00
<b>State Revenue</b>		
General Fund	\$0	\$0
Other and Federal	\$0	\$0
<b>Local Expenditure</b>	\$0	\$0
<b>Local Revenue</b>	\$0	\$0

**Fiscal Impact Summary**

This bill would have no expenditure impact on the General Fund, Federal Funds, or Other Funds.

**Explanation of Fiscal Impact**

**State Expenditure**

This bill amends Section 50-3-315(A) and Section 50-3-330 relating to surety bonds required of all law enforcement officers within the Department of Natural Resources. The bill increases the bond requirement from a minimum of \$1,000 to \$2,000 and requires the department to pay the premiums. The bill eliminates the requirement for deputy (unsalaried) enforcement officers to pay the premiums for their own surety bonds.

**Department of Natural Resources.** The department reports that this bill would have no expenditure impact on the General Fund, Federal Funds, or Other Funds. The department currently pays the premiums for salaried law enforcement officers. Adding deputy enforcement officers to the surety bond contract would not increase the premiums that the department would be required to pay.

**Attorney General’s Office.** The agency indicates that this bill would have no expenditure impact on the General Fund, Federal Funds, or Other Funds.

**State Revenue**

N/A

**Local Expenditure**

N/A

**Local Revenue**

N/A



---

Frank A. Rainwater, Executive Director